

Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution

**emep**

*Co-operative programme for monitoring  
and evaluation of the long-range  
transmission of air pollutants in Europe*

**EMEP Assessment**  
**Part II**  
**National Contributions**

Edited by

***Jerzy Bartnicki***

MSC-W of EMEP

***Gun Lövblad***

IVL<sup>1</sup>

**ISBN 82-7144-032-2**  
**Oslo, October 2004**

---

<sup>1</sup> Present affiliation: Profu AB

This report is also available in electronic format at <http://www.emp.int>

Norwegian Meteorological Institute  
P.O. Box 43, N-0313 Oslo, Norway

ISBN 82-7144-032-2  
Oslo, October 2004

## Contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	v
<b>List of contributing authors</b> .....	vii
<b>National Contributions:</b>	
<i>Austria:</i> National Assessment - Austria W. Spangl.....	1
<i>Belarus:</i> EMEP Assessment Report - Belarus S. Kakareka .....	11
<i>Croatia:</i> National Assessment - Croatia S. Vidic.....	21
<i>Czech Republic:</i> EMEP Assessment Report - Czech Republic M. Váňa, K. Dejmal, P. Machálek and I. Holoubek .....	39
<i>Denmark:</i> EMEP Assessment Report – Denmark N.Z. Heidam and J.B. Illerup.....	49
<i>Estonia:</i> Estonian EMEP Assessment Report K. Pajuste, V. Kimmel, N. Kohv and T. Truuts.....	63
<i>Finland:</i> Assessment Report on the Finnish EMEP data 1980 - 2000 T. Ruoho-Airola, P. Anttila, J.-P. Tuovinen and T. Salmi.....	71
<i>France:</i> EMEP Assessment Report: French national contribution P. Coddeville, B. Oudart, N. Poisson, M. Rico and L. Rouil.....	85
<i>Germany:</i> EMEP Assessment Report Contribution from Germany M. Wallasch .....	97
<i>Italy:</i> Assessment Report, National Contribution of Italy C. Perrino and J.-P. Putaud .....	109
<i>Latvia:</i> Assessment Report on the Latvian EMEP data 1985 - 2000 I. Lyulko, M. Frolova, I. Indriksone and P. Berga.....	121

## **National Contributions:**

<b><i>Lithuania:</i></b> EMEP Assessment Report - Lithuania D. Sopauskiene and D. Jasineviciene .....	133
<b><i>Netherlands:</i></b> The Netherlands contribution to the EMEP air quality assessment report Pieter Hammingh .....	145
<b><i>Norway:</i></b> National Assessments Norway J. Schaug, S. Soberg, K. Tørseth, K. Barrett, L. Hole and W. Aas.....	157
<b><i>Poland:</i></b> EMEP Assessment Report Poland G. Mitosek, A. Degórska, J. Iwanek, G. Przybylska and K. Skotak.....	175
<b><i>Slovak Republic:</i></b> EMEP Assessment Report - Slovak Republic M. Mitošinková, D. Závodský, K. Marečková and K. Pukančíková.....	189
<b><i>Spain:</i></b> Spanish EMEP Assessment Report M. Fernández, A. González and R. Javato.....	199
<b><i>Sweden:</i></b> Trends in Swedish background air 1980 - 2000 G. Lövblad, E. Henningsson, K. Sjöberg, E. Brorström-Lundén, A. Lindskog and J. Munthe.....	211
<b><i>Switzerland:</i></b> EMEP Assessment Report - Switzerland R. Ballaman, B. Buchmann, R. Gehrig and R. Weber.....	221
<b><i>United Kingdom:</i></b> United Kingdom Contribution to the EMEP assessment 2004 R. Fowler, R. I. Smith, and J. Muller.....	233

## Preface

In the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution, the Parties are given an active role in developing the convention further. This becomes quite clear if one reads Article 7 on Research and Development, and Article 8 on Exchange of Information. This principle of active Parties should then also apply to EMEP, as a programme under the convention.

Over the years the activities in EMEP gradually became more and more concentrated to the EMEP technical centres. The EMEP Steering Body was not a good forum for scientific interaction and debate. Workshops were held regularly, but the meteorological and modelling workshops were generally separate from workshops on chemical measurements and their interpretation, in focus as well as in attendance. This limited the interaction between these two fields, and did not encourage the Parties to carry out any comprehensive analysis of their own transboundary air pollution situation.

To obviate this deficiency, the Task Force on Measurement and Modelling (TFMM) has been set up as a scientific/technical forum for the Parties and the EMEP technical centres. One of the first tasks of TFMM has been to carry through an assessment of the whole of EMEP from the start of the programme up to the present times. The idea was that this task should be based, as far as possible, on assessments carried out by the Parties themselves. This was, of course, a risky plan, one could not be sure that there were sufficient resources available in the countries to carry out such a large and demanding task.

In the following part 2, 20 national assessments are presented. They are different, as they should be, but they all have clear messages and contain a vast amount of interesting information and analysis. This forms a very important basis for the European-wide assessment given in Part 1. I think EMEP should be proud of this outcome. EMEP is now again much more than the work of the EMEP technical centres, clearly a better situation than some time ago. The challenge is now to develop it further in this direction. Keep up the good work!

Anton Eliassen  
Oslo, 5 August 2004



## List of contributing authors

Author	Country
Aas W.	Norway
Anttila P.	Finland
Ballaman R.	Switzerland
Barrett K.	Norway
Berga P.	Latvia
Brorström-Lundén E.	Sweden
Buchmann B.	Switzerland
Coddeville P.	France
Degórska A.	Poland
Dejmal K.	Czech Republic
Fernández M.	Spain
Fowler D.	United Kingdom
Frolova M.	Latvia
Gehrig R.	Switzerland
González A.	Spain
Hammingh P.	Netherlands
Heidam N.Z.	Denmark
Henningsson E.	Sweden
Hole L.	Norway
Holoubek I.	Czech Republic
Indrikson I.	Latvia
Iwanek J.	Poland
Jasineviciene D.	Lithuania
Javato R.	Spain
Kakareka S.	Belarus
Kimmel V.	Estonia
Kohv N.	Estonia
Lindskog A.	Sweden
Lötblad G.	Sweden
Lyulko I.	Latvia
Machálek P.	Czech Republic
Marečková K.	Slovak Republic
Mitosek G.	Poland
Mitošinková M.	Slovak Republic
Muller J.	United Kingdom
Munthe J.	Sweden
Oudart B.	France
Pajuste K.	Estonia
Perrino C.	Italy

Author	Country
Poisson N.	France
Przybylska G.	Poland
Pukančíková K.	Slovak Republic
Putaud J.-P.	Italy
Rico M.	France
Rouïl L.	France
Ruoho-Airola T.	Finland
Salmi T.	Finland
Schaug J.	Norway
Sjöberg K.	Sweden
Skotak K.	Poland
Smith R.I.	United Kingdom
Solberg S.	Norway
Sopauskiene D.	Lithuania
Spangl W.	Austria
Tørseth K.	Norway
Truuts T.	Estonia
Tuovinen J.-P.	Finland
Váňa M.	Czech Republic
Vidic̆ S.	Croatia
Wallasch M.	Germany